IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 4783 OF 2005
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 751 OF 2004

Suresh Govind Naik ...Applicant

Versus

Central Bureau of Investigation and others ...Respondents

.....

Mr. U.G. Yerunkar, Advocate for Applicant

Mr. D.P. Adsule, A.P.P. for State.

.....

CORAM: SMT. V.K. TAHILRAMANI, J.

DATED: 26TH JULY, 2005

P. C.:-

1. Heard the learned advocate for the applicant and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The applicant was granted temporary bail by this Court by order dated 3.5.2005. The said bail was granted on the ground that his wife suffered from Ovarian Cancer. Thereafter, the applicant preferred another application i.e. Criminal Application No. 4258 of 2004 for extension of the temporary bail granted to him. In the said application it was stated that the treatment of his wife was still going on and that the applicant was also suffering from

'Trigeminal Neuralgia'. In view of the said statement the learned counsel for C.B.I. stated that at the most the temporary bail be extended for a period of 15 days. Now another application has been preferred by the applicant for extension of the bail period.

3. It is stated that the applicant requires M.R.I. to be done and on this ground the temporary bail may be extended. However, the applicant was advised to have M.R.I. a week back. In that week the applicant has not got the M.R.I. done. It is seen that the applicant had sufficient opportunity to get the M.R.I. done, yet the said M.R.I. has not been done by him. It appears that on one pretext or the other, the applicant is trying to get the temporary bail granted to him extended. In this view of the matter, I am not inclined to extend the period of temporary bail granted to the applicant. Application is rejected.

4. Needless to say that when the applicant is in jail, the jail authorities shall provide him all necessary medical treatment.

5. Application is disposed of.

*****